

January 12, 1983

Memo to File:

RE: Western Clay Company
Redmond Limestone Quarry
ACT/041/004
Sevier County, Utah

On January 11, 1982 Tom Portle of the Division met with Tom Robison of Western Clay. The purpose of this meeting was to assist the applicant in the resubmission process. In addition samples were taken of the "reject material piles". The following is an account of the items discussed during this visit and agreements made regarding the DOGM December 8, 1982 review letter.

Rule M-3 (1)(a)

A more definite map delineating the actual acreage to be disturbed will be submitted.

Rule M-3 (1)(c)

Most resubmitted and new maps will be prepared at 1:250 scale to provide more clear delineation.

Rule M-3 (1)(e)

A map will be revised to better depict sequential disturbances and will be updated as part of the annual operations and progress report.

Rule M-3(1)(d)

No additional material will be required on this as it is addressed adequately in the plan.

Rule M-3 and M-6

A more detailed bond estimate will be prepared. This will include cat time, operator wages, fencing, monitoring and costs of fertilizer etc. as the final details are worked out.

As to the form of bond, Western Clay is working on obtaining a performance bond. Negotiations with Mr. Blake of State Lands will be initiated.

Memo to File
ACT/041/004
January 12, 1983
Page Two

Rule M-3 (2)(a) & (b)

Western CLay has had the SCS out to the site. On their report they list rabbits and lizards as inhabitants. Three options will be provided regarding the seed mix. Division input as to the choice of seed mix should alleviate this concern.

Rule M-3 (2)(e)

As above.

Rule M-10 (12)(2)

The applicant will submit data generated by the SCS in a 11/10/83 site visit to show cover.

The applicant will consult with Susan Linner regarding the level of detail attendant to a monitoring plan. The surety will be inclusive at the associated costs.

Contemporaneous reclamation/test plots will be conducted to ascertain if a variance would be in order. Language will be provided to clarify the apparent discrepancy.

Rule M-10 (14)

A map will be provided to better describe the area. As indicated above contemporaneous reclamation/test plots will be conducted. Also discussed was the benefit of counting such acreage against the total disturbance if and when success is achieved. This could decrease bonding costs.

Soil protection will be achieved by providing more level tops on soil stockpiles and seeding with crested wheatgrass and yellow sweet clover.

Volumes of topsoil will be provided. Samples of the stockpiles have been taken and submitted to Utah State's laboratory for analysis. Results will be forthcoming.

Questions regarding soil replacement depth will be addressed via test plots.

Memo to File
ACT/041/004
January 12, 1983
Page Three

Rule M-10 (12)

Roads which were not in existence prior to the start-up of this operation will be reclaimed. Mr. Robison will check with SCS and BLM regarding fertilizer recommendations.

The area will be fenced.

Rule M-3 (2)(c)

Rule M-10 (6)

The "reject material" was sampled. EC and pH tests will be performed to provide advanced indication of any potential problems.

Rule M-10 (2)(6)

Mr. Robison will commit to the removal of all extraneous trash materials to a sanitary landfill.

Photos of berms and fences used to preclude any public health and safety will be provided.

THOMAS L. PORTLE **TLP**
RECLAMATION SOILS SPECIALIST

TLP/lm

cc: John Blake, State Lands
Pam Grubaugh-Littig, DOGM
Sue Linner, DOGM